

**ADDENDUM #2
TO
SPECIFICATION AND CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

May 31, 2013

TO: ALL PLAN HOLDERS

FOR: ITB-018-2013
Communications Tower

This addendum sets forth changes and/or additional information as referenced and is hereby made a part of and should be attached to the subject Specifications and Contract Documents.

Contractor must acknowledge receipt of all addenda with proposal on the form provided herein.

The purpose of addenda # 2 is to answer written questions received to date.

Question 1: In Part 1 – General, Overview of Project: Can you please clarify what is meant by, in the third paragraph, the successful bidder will receive all rights to the tower assembly and its associated feedlines and other valuable components (excluding antennas)?

Answer: This is referring to the existing tower that is currently being replaced. All the steel and copper from the feedlines will be given to the successful bidder to show a return on the total bid cost.

Question 2: I am assuming that the additional antennas, referenced in the fourth paragraph, would be for cellular carriers. Is it up to the bidder to determine what this load will be?

Answer: The new tower should take the initial antenna load expressed in the bid package and should have at least 25% expansion for wind loading. (See page 7) This will be for our own future expansion not for cell providers and lease space.

Question 3: Will the City ultimately own the new tower and lease space or is this a build to suit situation?

Answer: The City of Lake City will own and operate the new tower in-house and there are no plans to lease space at the current time.

Question 4: If the contractor is to supply the antennas shown on page 8 of 26, as per Part 3, paragraph 3.1.B, we will need to know what the model number is for the 800 Mhz omni antenna at the 90' elevation in order to obtain pricing.

Answer: We will provide all feedlines, antennas and mounts. The contractor will be responsible for the installation.

Question 5: The language in the Invitation to bid regarding tower lighting is confusing. Paragraph Part 3, 3.1 I, J discuss tower lighting. A reference is made to both Strobe type

and LED type. There is a huge cost difference in these systems. The systems are made to satisfy the same requirement. Which type should I use?

Answer: The solicitation reads as follows:

I. An obstruction lighting system shall be supplied with the tower and installed as required by the FAA and per vendor installation instructions. **To the extent possible, all supplied lighting is to utilize Light Emitting Diode (LED) construction.** All obstruction lighting equipment shall be FAA approved. Available vendors are Hughey & Phillips (for example) that meets the FAA requirements. If a strobe type top light is supplied, it must have a “day/night” automatic mode to eliminate “cloud bounce” issues.

J. Lighting shall be activated and deactivated by photoelectric control for unattended stations, and the method of activation for attended stations is to be specified. The Controller Light Box shall be lightning surge protected, designed for wall mounting inside or outside the station building, and equipped with alarm lighting and relays. The alarm controller is to accommodate the actual current drain of the supplied lighting, whether that lighting is incandescent or LED.

LED is the preferred but strobe will be accepted as an option by meeting all FAA requirements.

Addendum No. 1 Dated_____

Signature:_____

Addendum No. 2 Dated_____

Signature:_____

THIS FORM MUST BE INCLUDED WITH BID PROPOSAL